

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Patent Application Transmittal Letter

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 CFR 1.53(b) is a(n): ☒ Utility () Design

☒ original patent application,
() continuation-in-part application

INVENTOR(S): David M. Wetchler, et al.

TITLE: DYNAMIC INK-JET PRINT MODE ADJUSTMENT

Enclosed are:

- ☒ The Declaration and Power of Attorney. ☒ signed () unsigned or partially signed
☒ 4 sheets of drawings (one set) () Associate Power of Attorney
☒ Form PTO-1449 () Information Disclosure Statement and Form PTO-1449
() Priority document(s) () (Other) (fee \$)

CLAIMS AS FILED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY				
(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) TOTALS
TOTAL CLAIMS	15 — 20	0	X \$18	\$ 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 — 3	1	X \$78	\$ 78
ANY MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS	0		\$260	\$ 0
BASIC FEE: Design \$310.00 ; Utility \$690.00				\$ 690
TOTAL FILING FEE				\$ 768
OTHER FEES				\$
TOTAL CHARGES TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT				\$ 768

Charge \$ 768 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

"Express Mail" label no. EL510447896US

Date of Deposit March 17, 2000

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

By Bridgett Morrison
Typed Name: Bridgett Morrison

Respectfully submitted,

David M. Wetchler, et al.

By Charles F. Moore

Charles F. Moore

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39,779

Date: March 17, 2000

Telephone No.: (360) 212-8369

DYNAMIC INK-JET PRINT MODE ADJUSTMENT

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to ink-jet printing and, more specifically to a method and apparatus for dynamic print mode adjustment.

2. Description of Related Art

The art of ink-jet technology is relatively well developed. Commercial products such as computer printers, graphics plotters, copiers, and facsimile machines employ ink-jet technology for producing hard copy. The basics of this technology are disclosed, for example, in various articles in the *Hewlett-Packard Journal*, Vol. 36, No. 5 (May 1985), Vol. 39, No. 4 (August 1988), Vol. 39, No. 5 (October 1988), Vol. 43, No. 4 (August 1992), Vol. 43, No. 6 (December 1992) and Vol. 45, No.1 (February 1994) editions. Ink-jet devices are also described by W.J. Lloyd and H.T. Taub in *Output Hardcopy [sic] Devices*, chapter 13 (Ed. R.C. Durbeck and S. Sherr, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988).

FIGURE 1 (PRIOR ART) depicts an ink-jet hard copy apparatus, in this exemplary embodiment a computer peripheral printer 101. For convenience in describing the art and the present invention, all types of ink-jet hard copy apparatus are sometimes hereinafter referred to as "printers;" all types, sizes, and compositions of print media are also referred to as "paper;" all compositions of colorants are sometimes referred to as "ink;" and all embodiments of an ink-jet writing instruments are simply referred to as a "pen;" no limitation on the scope of the invention is intended nor should any be implied.

A housing 103 encloses the electrical and mechanical operating mechanisms of the printer 101. Operation is administrated by an electronic controller (usually a microprocessor or application specific integrated circuit ("ASIC") controlled printed circuit board) 102 connected by appropriate cabling to a computer (not shown). It is well known to program and execute imaging, printing, print media handling, control functions and logic with firmware or software instructions for conventional or general purpose microprocessors or with ASIC's. Cut-sheet print media 105, loaded by the end-user onto an input tray 120, is fed by a suitable paper-path transport mechanism (not shown) to an internal printing station, or "print zone," 107 where graphical images or alphanumeric text are rendered onto

adjacently positioned paper. A carriage 109, mounted on a slider 111, scans the print zone 107 (stationary paper wide ink-jet writing instruments are also known in the art and may be employed with the present invention). An encoder 113 subsystem is provided for keeping track of the position of the carriage 109 at any given time. A set of individual ink-jet pens, or print cartridges, 115X are mounted in the carriage 109. Reusable printhead systems are fluidically coupled by tubing 119 to replaceable or refillable ink reservoirs 117X (generally, in a full color system, inks for the subtractive primary colors, cyan (X=C), yellow (X=Y), magenta (M) and true black (X=K) are provided; ink fixer (X=F) solutions are also sometimes provided). Once a printed page is completed, the print medium is ejected onto an output tray 121. As indicated by the labeled arrows, the scanning axis is referred to as the "x-axis," the paper transport path as the "y-axis," and the printhead firing direction as the "z-axis."

In essence, the ink-jet printing process involves digitized dot-matrix manipulation of drops of ink ejected from a pen onto an adjacent sheet of paper. One or more ink-jet type writing instruments includes a "printhead," consisting generally of drop generator mechanisms and a number of columns of ink drop firing nozzles. Each column, or color-defined, selected subset of nozzles (referred to in the art as a "primitive"), selectively fires ink droplets (typically each being only a few picoliters in liquid volume) that are used to create a predetermined print matrix of dots on the adjacently positioned paper as the pen is scanned across the media. A given nozzle of the printhead is used to address a given matrix column print position on the paper (referred to as a picture element, or "pixel"). Horizontal positions, matrix pixel rows, on the paper are addressed by repeatedly firing a given nozzle at matrix row print positions as the pen is scanned. Thus, a single sweep scan of the pen across the paper can print a swath of tens of thousands of dots. The paper is stepped to permit a series of contiguous swaths. Complex digital dot matrix manipulation is used to form alphanumeric characters, graphical images, and even photographic reproductions from the ink drops. Stationary, page-wide, ink-jet printheads are also contemplated and are adaptable to the present invention.

The computerized printing processes employed with dot matrix manipulation are often referred to simply as "print modes." Print modes and resultant printer operations are generally chosen and fixed for any given setting of print media selection and print quality (e.g., an end-user computer application selection of "special media" and "photo quality," or

the like as would be known in the art). Assignee's pending patent application, U.S. Ser. No. 09/181951, by J.M. Brenner et al., discloses changes to printer behavior based on what the user has done.

As a writing system degrades over its product life, whether from age or use, print quality can also degenerate. Based on product life, a fixed, predetermined, print mode is chosen during development of a product for each media/print quality setting available to the end user. Usually each print mode is set based on a worst case operational scenario (maximum mechanical tolerance variations, pen variations, media variations, and the like parameters as would be known to those skilled in the art). By using a worst case scenario, print quality does not fall below an acceptable predetermined level based on empirical product development data. One-pass per print swath, bidirectional printing, is the fastest scanning type print mode. However, it is very sensitive to missing or misdirected nozzles, paper skew, and the like. A common solution is to use slower print modes to improve print quality (e.g., multi-pass swath printing and the like). Thus, throughput is slower than competing products such as laser printers.

Some exemplary print defects and customary causes are:

Operation Attribute	Print Defect
NOZZLE(S) OUT	Streaking
LINE FEED ADVANCE ERRORS	Light/dark lines
MISDIRECTED NOZZLES	Light/dark lines
WEAK NOZZLES	Streaking
PRINthead MISALIGNMENTS	Staggered lines and granularity
THETA-Z (PRINthead SKEW)	Staggered lines and banding
DROP WEIGHT VARIATION	Overall dark/light variations, insufficient saturation, or ink bleed through
DOT SHAPE/SIZE VARIATION	Dark/light regions, fuzzy lines

FIGURE 4 is TABLE 1, providing more complete listing of Operational Attributes and related Print Defects; the foregoing list of eight of these Attribute/Defect pairs will be used as an exemplary set for describing the present invention.

A variety of means for recognizing such print defects are known in the art. For example, Lesniak in U.S. Pat. No. 5,387,976 discloses a METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MEASURING DROP-VOLUME IN INK-JET PRINTERS, issued Feb. 7, 1995; optical sensing techniques and the use of test patterns have long been used, such as a MONITORING

AND CONTROLLING QUALITY OF PEN MARKINGS ON PLOTTING MEDIA, U.S.
Pat. No. 5,262,797 filed by Boeller et al. on Apr. 4, 1990 (each assigned to the common
assignee herein and incorporated herein by reference).

An ink-jet printer including the present invention would employ one or more of such
5 defect detection subsystems in order to obtain real-time information regarding current
printing conditions. While familiarity with such means is helpful, it is not essential to an
understanding of the present invention.

Generally, however, a printer is capable of much higher performance assuming
optimum operational conditions. Thus, some of this degradation may be compensated if the
10 writing system can self-determine the actual cause of the degradation. Therefore, there is a
need for print mode control based upon real-time feedback regarding status of various
components within the ink-jet writing system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 In its basic aspects, the present invention provides a method for determining a print
quality capability indicator of an ink-jet hard copy apparatus based on current actual
operational conditions of the apparatus. The method includes the steps of: storing a
plurality of predetermined ink-jet printing operation attributes related to output print quality;
monitoring a plurality of ink-jet printing operating characteristics in real time, wherein said
20 operating characteristics are correlated to said attributes; correlatively comparing said
operating characteristics to said attributes; based on said step of correlatively comparing,
deriving a comparison function for each of the operating characteristics; and assigning a
valuation parameter based on the comparison function for each of the operating
characteristics such that said valuation parameter is the print quality capability indicator
25 representative of current actual operational conditions.

In another basic aspect, the present invention provides a computerized method for
selecting a print mode for an ink-jet hard copy apparatus, including the steps of: storing a
set of data indicative of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes related to output print
quality; monitoring print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics during printing
30 operations; comparing each of said characteristics to selected Operational Attributes and
deriving a comparison value for each of said characteristics indicative of current operational
functionality of the apparatus; calculating a value function representative of expected print

quality during a next subsequent printing operation as a function of all derived comparison values for each of said characteristics; comparing said value function to a correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output; and selecting the print mode for the next subsequent printing operation based on said comparing

5 said value function to said correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output.

In another basic aspect, the present invention provides a method for selecting a print mode for an ink-jet hard copy apparatus having a plurality of user selected print modes for rendering a plurality of print quality levels, including the steps of: A) storing a set of ink-

10 jet printing Operational Attributes; B) monitoring print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics during printing operations; C) comparing said characteristics to said Operational Attributes for deriving a comparison value representative of expected print quality during a next subsequent printing operation; D) assigning a currently available print mode to said predetermined value; E) comparing said currently available print mode

15 to a user currently selected print mode; and F) over-riding said currently selected print mode by selecting said currently available print mode when said currently selected print mode is insufficient to render an expected print quality level from the currently selected print mode of the plurality of user selected print modes.

In another basic aspect, the present invention provides a system for dynamic print

20 mode selection in an ink-jet hard copy apparatus having a plurality of end-user selectable print quality levels, including: sensor means for real-time monitoring of print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics; coupled to said sensor means, memory means for storing data output from said sensor means and for containing predetermined print mode operational instructions related to print quality; and processing means for encoding the data

25 output from said sensor means as a value representative of current operational print quality availability and for comparing current operational print quality availability to desired print quality based on a currently selected one of the end-user selectable print quality levels and for overriding the current end-user selectable print quality level for the next printing operation and substituting a print mode representative of achieving the current end-user

30 selectable print quality level from said predetermined print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics mode operational

instructions when said current operational print quality availability is not adequate to meet the print quality level of the current end-user selectable print quality level.

Some of the advantage of the present invention are:

it provides real-time, automatic adjustment of print mode, ensuring that a

5 predetermined minimum print quality can be maintained;

it permits the use of higher performance print modes as primary operational parameters;

it is automatic, requiring no end-user intervention for implementation;

it provides more consistent print quality in each available printer operational setting;

10 and

it permits the use as single pass, bidirectional swath printing as a primary print mode.

The foregoing summary and list of advantages is not intended by the inventors to be an inclusive list of all the aspects, objects, advantages and features of the present invention

15 nor should any limitation on the scope of the invention be implied therefrom. This

Summary is provided in accordance with the mandate of 37 C.F.R. 1.73 and M.P.E.P.

608.01(d) merely to apprise the public, and more especially those interested in the particular art to which the invention relates, of the nature of the invention in order to be of assistance in aiding ready understanding of the patent in future searches. Other objects, features and

20 advantages of the present invention will become apparent upon consideration of the

following explanation and the accompanying drawings, in which like reference designations represent like features throughout the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

25 FIGURE 1 (Prior Art) is an exemplary ink-jet hard copy apparatus

FIGURE 2 is a block diagram of a print mode adjustment method and apparatus in accordance with the present invention.

FIGURE 3 is TABLE 2, an exemplary rating chart for print quality determination and print mode adjustment for the device as shown in FIGURE 2.

30 FIGURE 4 is TABLE 1, setting out a list of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes and related Print Defects.

The drawings referred to in this specification should be understood as not being drawn to scale except if specifically noted.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

5 Reference is made now in detail to a specific embodiment of the present invention, which illustrates the best mode presently contemplated by the inventors for practicing the invention. Alternative embodiments are also briefly described as applicable.

 The present invention provides a system for weighting and normalizing data to evaluate the potential print quality a hard copy apparatus is currently capable of producing.
10 Various attributes are combined in such a way that threshold values can be created and various print modes attached to those threshold values. Dynamic print mode selection is then implemented.

FIGURE 2 is a block diagram illustrating the method and apparatus of the present invention as can be incorporated within a hard copy apparatus as shown in FIGURE 1;
15 generally, implementation may be via software or firmware routines using commonly known digital signal processing ("DSP") techniques are operated from a microprocessor or ASIC included in the controller 102 subsystem.

 Sensors, generally represented by element 201 in FIGURE 1, such as those described by Lesniak or Boeller et al., *supra*, and the like as would be known in the art,
20 provide continuous information gathering 201' for data processing. The sensors provide current operating conditions and print quality data 203. Such data 203 is stored in a memory 205.

 At the beginning of a printing cycle, step 207, the end user generally uses the application software running on the computer or control panel selectors of the printer
25 controller 102 (FIGURE 1) to select a print quality level 209 based upon the particular job and the particular media 105 being employed in the input tray 120. Commonly, the original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") provides multiple settings for print quality range from a low resolution level, *e.g.*, "DRAFT," where the controller may designate a single pass swath printing cycle at a resolution of about 150 dots-per-inch ("DPI"), to a highest resolution
30 level available, *e.g.*, "PHOTO," where the controller may designate a multipass swath printing cycle at the highest capable resolution, *e.g.*, 1600 DPI. Thus, the user selection 209

is converted to a print mode 211 in accordance with the OEM provision; each print mode is assigned a performance value.

In accordance with the present invention, the collected data 203 is used to develop, step 213, a weighting of current operating attributes of the printer system. This system of weighting and normalizing of the current Operational Attributes (see FIG. 4) is used to evaluate the potential print quality the system is currently capable of producing. The Attributes are combined in such a way that threshold values can be set and various print modes attached to those threshold values.

FIGURE 3 is an exemplary embodiment illustrating the developing of a current operational condition weighted score that can be used to dynamically determine print mode. Several of the available known Operational Attributes have been selected as a set to be used in adjusting the print mode; in this example, the first eight Operational Attributes of TABLE 1 (also listed above in the Background section). Each selected attribute is given a predetermined scale rating its current condition. It will be recognized by those skilled in the art that the selected set of Operational Attributes upon which the weighted scored representative of current operational condition is derived can be tailored to any specific implementation. For example, a particular printer may be known to have significant "Pen-to-Paper spacing (media thickness)" (FIG. 4) usage, making that a significant Operational Attribute for that particular printer.

Looking now again to FIGURE 3, each Attribute is assigned a predetermined, scaled value; in this embodiment a "10-Point Scale" is used. For example, Attribute 1 is defined as non-firing nozzles. If no NOZZLE(S) OUT are detected by the sensor, Attribute 1 is given a perfect score of ten; one nozzle missing is given a score of eight; two nozzles missing, a score of six; three nozzles missing, a score of four; four nozzles missing, a score of two; and five or more nozzles missing, a score of zero. MISDIRECTED NOZZLES, Attribute 3, and weak (low drop volume) nozzles, Attribute 4, can be similarly rated. Attribute 2 is defined as LINE FEED ADVANCE errors, which can be detected in very small increments; thus, the rating score may range from a ten for no error to a score of zero for an offsets greater than or equal to ± 2.0 mils. ALIGNMENT errors, Attribute 5, and THETA-Z errors, Attribute 6, can be similarly rated. Attribute 7, DROP WEIGHT, can be measured and ranked as a percentage decrease. DOT SHAPE, Attribute 8, can be detected and give a score based on subjective comparisons -to stored criteria - "Poor" shape, "Moderate"

distortion from intended shape, or “Good” shape and relative points assigned. It should be recognized that some scores are directly correlated while others are inversely correlated; for example, under Attribute 1, when no nozzles are out, a high score is assigned because print quality will be good based on this Attribute alone, while in Attribute 2, when the line feed error is high, print quality will be adversely affected, so a low score is assigned.

During printing, the sensor inputs and print quality related data 203 are converted in real time to a total weighted score 213. Assume for the present example that current printer operating conditions provided by sensor data are shown in FIGURE 3 by the circled selected values in each of the columns for the Operational Attributes 1. - 8. A

“Performance Score” 301 for each Attribute is weighted subjectively; in other words, the OEM may determine that for the selected set of eight Operational Attributes, some are more important than others and has assigned appropriate Subjective Weighting factors 302. For example, Attribute 1., NOZZLE MISSING is critical so it is given a weighting of 100, but Attribute 8. DOT SHAPE is of a less critical nature so it is given a weighting of only 25. A “Weighted Score” 303 for each Attribute 1. - 8. is derived.

The “Total Weighted Score” 304 (“TWS”) is then defined as:

$$TWS = WS_{1-n} \div n \quad (\text{Equation 1}),$$

where “n” = number of Attributes, and
where the “Weighted Score” (“WS”) 303 is defined as:

$$WS = PS_{MAX} - (SW\% (PS_{MAX} - PS)) \quad (\text{Equation 2}),$$

where PS is the “performance score” 301 and SW% is the “subjective weighting” 302.

In general, various Attributes (*see* FIG. 4) can be combined in such a way that threshold values can be created subjectively or empirically and various print modes attached to those threshold values. For example, the OEM may choose a one-pass print mode for print quality thresholds above 9, a two-pass mode for thresholds between 5 and 9 and a four-pass mode for thresholds below 5; these print modes are also stored in a memory 205'. The normalizing the data 203, 205 and applying the rating scale to develop a real time attribute score can then be compared, step 215, FIGURE 2, to the thresholds so set by the OEM. In

the present example of FIGURE 3, the real time score is 8.9. Thus, if the user had selected 209 a one-pass print mode to obtain a particular print quality assigned, the real time score at 8.9 shows that the current printer performance can not achieve that print quality in one pass. The printer automatically overrides the user selection 209, step 217 (215 No-path). The appropriate print mode is selected and loaded in the appropriate registers of the controller 102 (FIG. 1), step 219. Printing, step 221, is then initiated. The whole job can be printed until the end of the job data 207, or a periodic check subroutine 223, such as a known manner timer, can be used at any points to re-compare the current Weighted Score to the threshold. For example, nozzles that had not been firing may clear and start firing, raising the substantially instantaneous Weighted Score to above 9; the printer would automatically switch to the one-pass mode initially selected by the user in the example, speeding throughput for the remainder of the job.

It will be recognized by those skilled in the art that Operational Attributes may interact with each other and that the process should be designed to handle those known interactions. A particular set of selected attributes can cause the print quality to degrade even though all of the individual attributes have not passed their threshold values.

In summary, the present invention uses various real-time monitoring and resultant data inputs to change the print mode, dynamically during print cycles. Note that as a result of real-time monitoring and print mode adjustment, predetermined primary default print modes can be set a higher performance level. Various feedback subsystems provide real-time information critical to selecting specific print mode operational parameters, or attributes. The attributes allow the print mode to be fine tuned, dynamically, for each printer and pen combination over the life of the printer. Thresholds that signal a print mode change are defined.

The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form or to exemplary embodiments disclosed. Obviously, many modifications and variations will be apparent to practitioners skilled in this art. Similarly, any process steps described might be interchangeable with other steps in order to achieve the same result. The embodiment was chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its best mode practical application, thereby to enable others skilled in the art to understand the invention for various

embodiments and with various modifications as are suited to the particular use or implementation contemplated. It is intended that the scope of the invention be defined by the claims appended hereto and their equivalents. Reference to an element in the singular is not intended to mean "one and only one" unless explicitly so stated, but rather means "one or more." Moreover, no element, component, nor method step in the present disclosure is intended to be dedicated to the public regardless of whether the element, component, or method step is explicitly recited in the following claims. No claim element herein is to be construed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 112, sixth paragraph, unless the element is expressly recited using the phrase "means for. . ."

What is claimed is:

CLAIMS

1. A method for determining a print quality capability indicator of an ink-jet hard copy apparatus based on current actual operational conditions of the apparatus, comprising
5 the steps of:

storing a plurality of predetermined ink-jet printing operation attributes related to output print quality;

monitoring a plurality of ink-jet printing operating characteristics in real time, wherein said operating characteristics are correlated to said attributes;

10 correlatively comparing said operating characteristics to said attributes;

based on said step of correlatively comparing, deriving a comparison function for each of the operating characteristics; and

assigning a valuation parameter based on the comparison function for each of the operating characteristics such that said valuation parameter is the print quality capability
15 indicator representative of current actual operational conditions.

2. The method as set forth in claim 1, the step of storing a plurality of predetermined ink-jet printing attributes related to output print quality further comprising:

determining a set of operational attributes related to print quality produced by the
20 ink-jet hard copy apparatus,

assigning a series of scaled values to each of said operational attributes such that each of the scaled values is representative of a predetermined level of performance of each of the respective operational attributes, and

storing a look-up table wherein a correlated scaled value is selected based on the
25 current actual operating conditions.

3. The method as set forth in claim 2, the step of correlatively comparing said operating characteristics to said attributes further comprising:

selecting for a current operational attribute of the ink-jet hard copy apparatus the
30 scaled value representative of a predetermined level of performance indicative of a current operational condition.

4. The method as set forth in claim 3, the step of deriving a comparison function for each of the operating characteristics further comprising:

normalizing each selected scaled value to a common standard.

5. The method as set forth in claim 4, the step of assigning a valuation parameter based on the comparison function for each of the operating characteristics such that said valuation parameter is the print quality capability indicator representative of current actual operational conditions further comprising:

deriving from a summation of each selected scaled value of all the printing attributes the value representative of print quality availability based on the current actual operational conditions of the hard copy apparatus.

6. A computerized method for selecting a print mode for an ink-jet hard copy apparatus, comprising the steps of:

storing a set of data indicative of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes related to output print quality;

monitoring print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics during printing operations;

comparing each of said characteristics to selected Operational Attributes and

deriving a comparison value for each of said characteristics indicative of current operational functionality of the apparatus;

calculating a value function representative of expected print quality during a next subsequent printing operation as a function of all derived comparison values for each of said characteristics;

comparing said value function to a correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output; and

selecting the print mode for the next subsequent printing operation based on said comparing said value function to said correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output.

7. The method as set forth in claim 6, the step of storing a set of data indicative of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes further comprising:

selecting ink-jet printing operational attributes significantly affecting print quality by the hard copy apparatus, and

assigning a set of weighted values to each of said operational attributes such that one of said weighted values can be selected as an indication of a current operational condition of the hard copy apparatus as determined by said step of monitoring print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics during printing operations.

8. The method as set forth in claim 7, the step of selecting the print mode for the next subsequent printing operation based on said comparing said value function to said correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output further comprising:

if said preselected print quality value assigns a print mode having a faster throughput than a print mode based on said value function, and

if said hard copy apparatus is capable of print with a print quality at least equal to the print quality related to said preselected print quality value, printing in the print mode related to said preselected print quality value, or

if said print mode related to said preselected print quality value can not achieve the print quality preselected as determined by said step of comparing said value function to a correlated preselected print quality value indicative of a predetermined print quality output, over-riding selection of the print mode related to said preselected print quality value and printing in the print mode based on said value function.

9. A method for selecting a print mode for an ink-jet hard copy apparatus having a plurality of user selected print modes for rendering a plurality of print quality levels, comprising the steps of:

A) storing a set of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes;

B) monitoring print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics during printing operations;

C) comparing said characteristics to said Operational Attributes for deriving a comparison value representative of expected print quality during a next subsequent printing operation;

D) assigning a currently available print mode to said predetermined value;

0526202-031700

E) comparing said currently available print mode to a user currently selected print mode; and

F) over-riding said currently selected print mode by selecting said currently available print mode when said currently selected print mode is insufficient to render an expected print quality level from the currently selected print mode of the plurality of user selected print modes.

10. The method as set forth in claim 9, comprising the steps of:

G) starting a printing job in a currently used print mode wherein the currently used print mode is either the currently selected print mode or the currently available print mode as determined in steps E) and F);

H) periodically halting said printing job; and

I) repeating steps B) through H) until said printing job is finished.

11. The method as set forth in claim 9, the step of storing a set of ink-jet printing Operational Attributes further comprising:

storing a data set for a plurality, "n," of Operational Attributes wherein a performance score is provided in a predetermined relationship to a series of performance levels of operation for each of the attributes such that the score is indicative of the related attribute positive or negative affect on print quality.

12. The method as set forth in claim 11, the step of comparing said characteristics to said Operational Attributes for deriving a comparison value representative of expected print quality during a next subsequent printing operation further comprising:

from said data set, each said comparison value is determined as a function of a weighted score, "WS," for each of the plurality of Operational Attributes, and where

$$WS = PS_{MAX} - (SW\% (PS_{MAX} - PS)),$$

where PS is an Operational Attribute performance score and SW% is predetermined subjective weighting factor.

13. The method as set forth in claim 12, further comprising the step of:
determining a total weighted score, "TWS," indicative of said currently available
print mode in accordance with the equation:

$$TWS = WS_{1-n} + n.$$

14. A system for dynamic print mode selection in an ink-jet hard copy apparatus
having a plurality of end-user selectable print quality levels, comprising:

sensor means for real-time monitoring of print quality related ink-jet printing

characteristics;

coupled to said sensor means, memory means for storing data output from said
sensor means and for containing predetermined print mode operational instructions related
to print quality; and


processing means for encoding the data output from said sensor means as a value
representative of current operational print quality availability and for comparing current
operational print quality availability to desired print quality based on a currently selected
one of the end-user selectable print quality levels and for overriding the current end-user
selectable print quality level for the next printing operation and substituting a print mode
representative of achieving the current end-user selectable print quality level from said
predetermined print quality related ink-jet printing characteristics print quality related ink-
jet printing characteristics mode operational instructions when said current operational print
quality availability is not adequate to meet the print quality level of the current end-user
selectable print quality level.

15. The system as set forth in claim 14, the processing means further comprising:
means for halting a printing operation periodically to re-evaluate said current
operational print quality availability and for re-comparing current operational print quality
availability to desired print quality based on a currently selected one of the end-user
selectable print quality levels and for restarting the printing operation in a print mode suited
to any changes in current operational print quality availability based on current said print
quality related ink-jet printing characteristics.

DYNAMIC INK-JET PRINT MODE ADJUSTMENT

ABSTRACT

The present invention uses various real-time monitoring and resultant data inputs to
5 change the print mode, dynamically during print cycles. Note that as a result of real-time
monitoring and print mode adjustment, predetermined primary default print modes can be
set a higher performance level. Various feedback subsystems provide real-time information
critical to selecting specific print mode operational parameters, or "Operational Attributes."
The attributes allow the print mode to be fine tuned, dynamically, for each printer and pen
10 combination over the life of the printer. Thresholds that signal a print mode change are
defined.






FIG.2

TABLE 2
OPERATIONAL ATTRIBUTES

10-POINT SCALE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	5+	± 2.0	5+	5+	± 2.0	± 2.0	10%	POOR
1		± 1.8			± 1.8	± 1.8	9%	
2	4	± 1.6	4	4	± 1.6	± 1.6	8%	
3		± 1.4			± 1.4	± 1.4	7%	
4	3	± 1.2	3	3	± 1.2	± 1.2	6%	
5		± 1.00			± 1.00	± 1.00	5%	MODERATE
6	2	± 0.8	2	(2)	± 0.8	± 0.8	4%	
7		± 0.6			± 0.6	± 0.6	3%	
8	(1)	± 0.4	1	1	(± 0.4)	± 0.4	2%	
9		(± 0.2)			± 0.2	± 0.2	1%	
10	0	0	(0)	0			0%	(GOOD)
PERFORMANCE SCORE ON 10-POINT SCALE	8	9	10	6	8	5	5	10
SUBJECTIVE WEIGHTING	100	100	60	25	50	25	50	25
WEIGHTED SCORE	8	9	10	9	9	8.75	7.5	10
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	8.9							

FIG.3

301

302

303

304

TABLE 1

OPERATIONAL ATTRIBUTE	PRINT DEFECT
1. NOZZLE(S) OUT	Streaking
2. LINE FEED ADVANCE ACCURACY	Light/dark lines
3. MISDIRECTED NOZZLES VARIATION	Light/dark lines
4. WEAK NOZZLES	Streaking
5. ALIGNMENT	Staggered lines and granularity
6. THETA-Z	Staggered lines and banding
7. DROP WEIGHT	Overall darkness/lightness, Not enough saturation, too much ink in page
8. DOT SHAPE ON PAGE	Dark/light regions, fuzzy lines
X AXIS ALIGNMENT PRIMARY TO PRIMARY	Banding and increased granularity and edge roughness
Y AXIS ALIGNMENT PRIMARY TO PRIMARY	Banding and streaking
COLUMN TO COLUMN INTRA-PRIMARY ALIGNMENT	Increased granularity and edge roughness
BI DIRECTIONAL ALIGNMENT	Increased granularity and edge roughness
PEN TO PAPER SPACING (MEDIA THICKNESS)	Banding
PEN TO PAPER ANGLE	Banding
PEN TO PAPER VARIATION OVER PRINT ZONE	Banding variations over zone
PEN AXIS NOZZLE DIRECTIONALITY	Streaking and banding
SCAN AXIS NOZZLE DIRECTIONALITY	Banding and increased granularity and edge roughness
PEN THERMAL RESPONSE	Overall darkness/lightness, not enough saturation, too much ink in page, hue shift
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY	Dry time
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE ALONE	Pen thermal response
DROP VELOCITY	Streaking, fuzzy lines, banding
INK LEVEL	Streaking

FIG.4

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATIONATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10991194-1

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence/post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

DYNAMIC INK-JET PRINT MODE ADJUSTMENT

the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

() was filed on _____ as US Application Serial No. or PCT International Application
Number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understood the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Foreign Application(s) and/or Claim of Foreign Priority

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor(s) certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor(s) certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
			YES: _____ NO: _____
			YES: _____ NO: _____

Provisional Application

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE

U. S. Priority Claim

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:


APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	STATUS (patented/pending/abandoned)

POWER OF ATTORNEY:

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Customer Number 022879Place Customer
Number Bar Code
Label hereSend Correspondence to:
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80528-9599**Direct Telephone Calls To:**Charles F. Moore
(360) 212-8369

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Inventor: David M. Wetchler Citizenship: USResidence: 13905 NE 7th Circle, Vancouver, WA 98684Post Office Address: Same as residence

 Inventor's Signature

3/17/00
 Date


DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION (continued)

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10991194-1

Full Name of # 2 joint inventor: James M. Brenner Citizenship: US

Residence: 1111 SE 128 Avenue, Vancouver, WA 98683

Post Office Address: Same as residence

Inventor's Signature  Date 3-15-00

Full Name of # 3 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of # 4 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of # 5 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of # 6 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of # 7 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Full Name of # 8 joint inventor: _____ Citizenship: _____

Residence: _____

Post Office Address: _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

0558262-031700